UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
,	10/735,188	12/12/2003	Georgios Stamatas	J&J-5092	2589	
	27777 7590 11/15/2007 PHILIP S. JOHNSON			EXAMINER		
	JOHNSON & JOHNSON			CHENG, JACQUELINE		
		N & JOHNSON PLAZ VICK, NJ 08933-7003	A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		3768		
				<u></u>		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				11/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
•	10/735,188	STAMATAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Jacqueline Cheng	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2007.						
·	2a)☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Discription of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/735,188

Art Unit: 3768

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trepagnier (US 2002/0016534) in view of Vari (US 5,701,902).

Trepagneir et al. teaches of an instrument for monitoring tissue status (abstract).

The instrument is capable of emitting and detecting the first source of radiation as taught by Trepagnier et al. as follows: "When the target being detected is tryptophan, the ultraviolet radiation source is preferably operative to irradiate at approximately 285-305 nm, more preferably at approximately 295 nm, and the ultraviolet detector is preferably sensitive to emitted wavelengths in the range of 315-420 nm, more preferably 340-360 nm, and most preferably, 345 nm" (column 9, lines 4-10).

The instrument is capable of emitting and detecting the second source of radiation as taught by Trepagnier et al. as follows: "In another preferred embodiment, the target is NADH

Application/Control Number: 10/735,188

Art Unit: 3768

and the radiation source is operative to emit radiation at between 320 and 370 nm, or, more preferably, 340 nm. The radiation detector is operative to detect radiation at between about 420 and 520 nm" (column 10, lines 29-33).

The Trepagnier et al. instrument is capable of calculating control ratios such that "differences in pigmentation between different areas of the body as well as different patients can be factored or eliminated through selection of control input, and overcome" (column 9, lines 63-65) and that "the step of detecting fluorescence may comprise detecting one or more parameters selected from the group consisting of wavelength of fluorescence, intensity of fluorescence, overall fluorescence, relative peak ratios, spectral shapes, peak shifts, band narrowing and band broadening" (column 23, lines 49-54).

Although Trepagnier does not explicitly disclose using the information gathered to determine skin health, Trepagnier does disclose using the information for measuring the analyte levels for monitoring tissue status, which is capable of being skin tissue status (or skin health). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to do use the information to determine skin health as it is well known in the art, such as disclosed by Vari, that collagen, NADH and FAD levels determine health conditions of skin (col. 5 line 45-50). Trepagnier also analyzes other factors that relate to skin health such as skin coloration, temperature, hydration, and pH which are also factors of skin health (paragraph 0070).

Art Unit: 3768

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline Cheng whose telephone number is 571-272-5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,188 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JC